



Government Information (Public Access) Act Annual Report 1 July 2014 – 30 June 2015

Agency Name	Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District
Principal Department	NSW Ministry of Health
Reporting Period	1 July 2014 – 30 June 2015

Under the *Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009* (GIPA Act), there is a presumption in favour of the disclosure of Government information unless there is an overriding public interest against disclosure.

1. Review of proactive release program - Clause 7(a)

Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District undertakes reviews of its information on a regular basis, including information requested via informal access and formal access channels. Liaison also occurs with Executive Directorates and their respective portfolios regarding identification of information that can be released proactively. Review of the Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District disclosure log and websites of other agencies to see the type of information they release proactively, is also undertaken.

The most accessible way for the public to access such information is via the Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District internet website, and this website is continually developed to make information readily available to the public. During 2014-15 the following information has been released proactively on the website:

- NBMLHD Board information including board member details, Board sub-committees and Board minutes
- Strategic plans, business plans and reports
- NBMLHD Service Agreement and budget allocations
- Hospital and Community Health Centre maps, parking and transport information, disability and access information, services provided, visitor information and key news items
- Public health services including immunisation, infectious disease management, emergency management and environmental health
- Employment and career information, positions being recruited to, workforce diversity, and work experience and volunteer opportunities
- Media releases, news stories, videos and NBM News
- Topical news items for the public

2. Number of access applications received - Clause 7(b)

During 2014-15, Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District received eight new formal access applications under the *GIPA Act*. No applications were carried forward from 2013-14. Six applications were decided, one application was withdrawn, and one application received during the reporting period was undecided as at 30 June 2015.

3. Number of refused applications for Schedule 1 information - Clause 7(c)

During the reporting period, Nepean Blue Mountains Local Health District did not refuse any formal access application because the information requested was information referred to in Schedule 1 to the *GIPA Act* (information for which there is a conclusive presumption of overriding public interest against disclosure).

4. Statistical information about access applications - Clause 7(d) and Schedule 2

The following tables (A-H) outline statistical information about access applications – Clause 7(d) and Schedule 2.

In summary, of the six access applications decided in 2014-15, three decisions were made to grant access to the information in full, four decisions were made to grant access to the information in part, and two decisions were made to refuse access in full. One decision was made where the information was not held. One application was withdrawn by the applicant. In one case NBMLHD refused to deal with the application.

Table A: Number of applications by type of applicant and outcome*								
	Access granted in full	Access granted in part	Access refused in full	Information not held	Information already available	Refuse to deal with application	Refuse to confirm/deny whether information is held	Application withdrawn
Media	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Members of Parliament	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Private sector business	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Not for profit organisations or community groups	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Members of the public (application by legal representative)	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Members of the public (other)	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	1

*More than one decision can be made in respect of a particular access application. If so, a recording must be made in relation to each such decision. This also applies to Table B.

Table B: Number of applications by type of application and outcome								
	Access granted in full	Access granted in part	Access refused in full	Information not held	Information already available	Refuse to deal with application	Refuse to confirm/deny whether information is held	Application withdrawn
Personal information applications*	2	2	2	1	0	1	0	1
Access applications (other than personal information applications)	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Access applications that are partly personal information applications and partly other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

*A **personal information application** is an access application for personal information (as defined in clause 4 of Schedule 4 to the Act) about the applicant (the applicant being an individual).

The total number of decisions in Table B should be the same as Table A.

Table C: Invalid applications

Reason for invalidity	Number of applications
Application does not comply with formal requirements (section 41 of the Act)	0
Application is for excluded information of the agency (section 43 of the Act)	0
Application contravenes restraint order (section 110 of the Act)	0
Total number of invalid applications received	0
Invalid applications that subsequently became valid applications	0

**Table D: Conclusive presumption of overriding public interest against disclosure:
matters listed in Schedule 1 of the Act**

	Number of times consideration used*
Overriding secrecy laws	0
Cabinet information	0
Executive Council information	0
Contempt	0
Legal professional privilege	0
Excluded information	0
Documents affecting law enforcement and public safety	0
Transport safety	0
Adoption	0
Care and protection of children	0
Ministerial code of conduct	0
Aboriginal and environmental heritage	0

*More than one public interest consideration may apply in relation to a particular access application and, if so, each such consideration is to be recorded (but only once per application). This also applies in relation to Table E.

**Table E: Other public interest considerations against disclosure:
matters listed in table to section 14 of the Act**

	Number of occasions when application not successful
Responsible and effective government	8
Law enforcement and security	0
Individual rights, judicial processes and natural justice	4
Business interests of agencies and other persons	0
Environment, culture, economy and general matters	0
Secrecy provisions	0
Exempt documents under interstate Freedom of Information legislation	0

Table F: Timeliness

	Number of applications
Decided within the statutory timeframe (20 days plus any extensions)	4
Decided after 35 days (by agreement with applicant)	0
Not decided within time (deemed refusal)	2
Total	6

Table G: Number of applications reviewed under Part 5 of the Act (by type of review and outcome)

	Decision	Decision	Total
--	----------	----------	-------

	varied	upheld	
Internal review	0	0	0
Review by Information Commissioner*	0	0	0
Internal review following recommendation under section 93 of Act	0	0	0
Review by NCAT	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0

*The Information Commissioner does not have the authority to vary decisions, but can make recommendation to the original decision-maker. The data in this case indicates that a recommendation to vary or uphold the original decision has been made.

Table H: Applications for review under Part 5 of the Act (by type of applicant)

	Number of applications for review
Applications by access applicants	0
Applications by persons to whom information the subject of access application relates (see section 54 of the Act)	0